UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/540,330	06/21/2005	Nathan Bryan Mantlo	X-15710	8686	
25885 ELI LILLY & (7590 03/26/2007 COMPANY	EXAMINER			
PATENT DIVI		LAMBKIN, DEBORAH C			
P.O. BOX 6288 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46206-6288			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		03/26/2007	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/26/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@lilly.com

		1 4 11					
Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/540,330		MANTLO ET AL.			
		Examiner	·	Art Unit			
		Deborah C.		1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 February 2007</u> .						
•—	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-22,24,25,29,33-37,40-44,46-48 and 50-58 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,40-44 and 50-55 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-5,7-22,24,25,29,33-37,46-48 and 56-58 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
,	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmer	nt(e)	,		DERORADO LAMEKIN PRIMARY EXAMINER			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		1) Interview Summary				
2) Notion (3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 6/21/05.		Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/540,330

Art Unit: 1626

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in the reply filed on 2/05/07 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beswick et al (US 7,091,237).

Beswick et al teaches the compounds such as the trifluoromethyl-phenyl-thienyl-phenoxy acetic acids (see those listed in Claims 17-19) which read on the instant claim EY is acetic acid or alkylcarboxylic acid, XU is alkoxy and one of R10 or R11 is haloalkyl.

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 46-48 and 50-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beswick et al (US 7,091,237).

Beswick et al teach a genus of compounds which cross embrace the instant genus for essentially the same use. More specifically, the compounds of Beswick et al fall within the instant genus when the YZ ring is thiophene and X2 is O.

There is nothing unobvious in choosing a species from a prior art genus for the same use, motivation being that said species would be expected to possess the same or similar results as their exemplified counterparts, absent some unobvious or unexpected results.

Claim Objections

Claims 4-5, 7-22, 24-25,29,33-37,40-44, and 56-58 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/540,330

Art Unit: 1626

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah C. Lambkin whose telephone number is 571-272-0698.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached at 571-272-0699.

Deborah C. Lambkin

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626